

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/664,457	GORDON ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Terrence R. Till	1744	

All Participants:

(1) Terrence R. Till.

(2) S. McCue.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 23 January 2007

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

19-25

Prior art documents discussed:

Howard et al.

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner called applicant's representative to request that claims 19-25 canceled. It was pointed out that claim 21 could still be rejected over the patent to Howard, but that claims 1-3, 6 and 8-14 would be allowed if claims 19-25 were canceled. Additionally, the amendments to the specification (underlined portions) would be deleted from the after-final amendment so as to avoid any possibility of new matter. On January 23, 2007, applicant's representative agreed to the changes..